



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 05/05/2005

| APPLICATION NO.            | FILING DATE         | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|----------------------------|---------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 09/920,533                 | 07/31/2001          | Daniel C. Biederman  | CISCP209/3895 5963  |                  |
| 22434                      | 7590 05/05/2005     | EXAMINER             |                     | INER             |
| BEYER WEAVER & THOMAS LLP  |                     |                      | HAILE, FEBEN        |                  |
| P.O. BOX 702<br>OAKLAND, ( | 50<br>CA 94612-0250 |                      | ART UNIT            | PAPER NUMBER     |
| ,                          |                     |                      | 2663                |                  |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

|   | Application No.   | Annlinent/n  |  |  |  |  |
|---|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| •   | Application No. 09/920,533  | Applicant(s)  BIEDERMAN, DANIEL C.   |  |  |  |  |
| Office Action Summary   | Examiner  | Art Unit   |  |  |  |  |
|   | Feben M Haile   | 2663   |  |  |  |  |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app  | 1   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Period for Reply  A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE | nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133). |  |  |  |  |
| Status  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 31 Ju  | <u>ıly 2001</u> .   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ This  | action is non-final.  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Disposition of Claims   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-45 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-8, 14-24, 28-37, and 41-45 is/are re 7) ☐ Claim(s) 9-13,25-27 and 38-40 is/are objected 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or   | vn from consideration.<br>jected.<br>to.  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Application Papers  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine   | r.  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ acce   | 10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the   | drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See   | e 37 CFR 1.85(a).  |  |  |  |  |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex  |   | • •  |  |  |  |  |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list  | s have been received.<br>s have been received in Applicati<br>rity documents have been receive<br>u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).   | on No ed in this National Stage  |  |  |  |  |
| Attachment(s)   | » 🗖   | <b>1770</b> . 440  |  |  |  |  |
| 1) M Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Motice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)   | 4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 2/28/02 & 6/23/04.  | 5)  Notice of Informal P 6)  Other:   | atent Application (PTO-152)  |  |  |  |  |

Art Unit: 2663

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 1. Claims 1, 3, 14-17, 19, 28-30, 32, 41-42, and 44 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Tsuchiya et al. (US 6,690,669), hereinafter referred to as Tsuchiya.

Regarding claims 1 and 42, Tsuchiya discloses a method comprising: determining information related to converting data from a first protocol to a second protocol (column 6 lines 57-63; an IPv4 terminal transmits an inquiry message for the IP address corresponding to an IPv6 terminal through an IPv4-IPv6 converting apparatus); and modifying a protocol parameter of a first data based on the determined information to thereby avoid problems associated with a second data sent after the first data (column 8 lines 31-40; an IP header converting means extracts the IPv4 address from the IPv4 source address field of an IPv4 packet and converts the IPv4 address into an IPv4-mapped IPv6 address and sets it into an IPv6 source address field of an IPv6 packet), wherein problems may result from

converting the second data from the first to the second protocol (column 2 lines 44-48; when communicating between an IPv4 and IPv6 terminal, an IPv4 address is fixedly allocated to the IPv6 terminal that communicates with the IPv4 terminal, which consumes the already short IPv4 address and accelerates the shortage).

Regarding claims 3 and 44, Tsuchiya discloses wherein the first protocol is IPv4 and the second protocol is IPv6 (column 1 lines 11-13; an IPv4 terminal communicates with an IPv6 terminal through and IPv5-IPv6 converting apparatus).

Regarding claim 14, Tsuchiya discloses comprising converting the first data from the first to the second protocol and the second data from the second to the first protocol (column 2 lines 7-17; IP tunneling is achieved when an IPv6 network exists between IPv4 terminals).

Regarding claim 15, Tsuchiya discloses wherein converting data from a first protocol to a second protocol is accomplished by performing network address protocol translation (NAT-PT) (column 1 lines 11-13; an IPv4 terminal communicates with an IPv6 terminal through and IPv4-IPv6 converting apparatus).

Regarding claim 16, Tsuchiya discloses wherein converting data from a first protocol to a second protocol is accomplished by tunneling (column 2 lines 7-17; IP tunneling is achieved when an IPv6 network exists between IPv4 terminals and an IPv4 packet is encapsulated by an IPv6 header).

Regarding claims 17 and 30, Tsuchiya discloses one or more processors (figure 1 unit 11 and column 5 lines 66-column 6 lines 2; an IPv4-IPv6 converting

Art Unit: 2663

apparatus includes a an IP header converting means for performing an IP header conversion of an IPv4 packet and IPv6 packet constructed by a CPU); one or more memory (figure 1 unit 13 and column 6 lines 3-8; an IPv4-IPv6 converting apparatus includes a DNS substituting means for capturing domain information from a DNS server in the IPv4 and IPv6 networks and is constructed by a RAM), wherein at least one of the processors and memory are adapted to: determine information related to converting data from a first protocol to a second protocol (column 6 lines 57-63; an IPv4 terminal transmits an inquiry message for the IP address corresponding to an IPV6 terminal through an IPv4-IPv6 converting apparatus); and modify a protocol parameter of a first data based on the determined information to thereby avoid problems associated with a second data sent after the first data (column 8 lines 31-40; an IP header converting means extracts the IPv4 address from the IPv4 source address field of an IPv4 packet and converts the IPv4 address into an IPv4-mapped IPv6 address and sets it into an IPv6 source address field of an IPv6 packet), wherein problem(s) may result from converting the second data from the first to the second protocol (column 2 lines 44-48; when communicating between an IPv4 and IPv6 terminal, an IPv4 address is fixedly allocated to the IPv6 terminal that communicates with the IPv4 terminal, which consumes the already short IPv4 address and accelerates the shortage).

Regarding claims 19 and 32, Tsuchiya discloses wherein the first protocol is IPv4 and the second protocol is IPv6 (column 1 lines 11-13; an IPv4 terminal

communicates with an IPv6 terminal through and IPv4-IPv6 converting apparatus).

Regarding claims 28 and 41, Tsuchiya discloses wherein converting data from a first protocol to a second protocol is accomplished by performing network address protocol translation (NAT-PT) (column 1 lines 11-13; an IPv4 terminal communicates with an IPv6 terminal through and IPv4-IPv6 converting apparatus).

Regarding claim 29, Tsuchiya discloses wherein converting data from a first protocol to a second protocol is accomplished by tunneling (column 2 lines 7-17; IP tunneling is achieved when an IPv6 network exists between IPv4 terminals and an IPv4 packet is encapsulated by an IPv6 header).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 2, 4-8, 18, 20-24, 31, 33-37, 43 and 45 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tsuchiya et al. (US 6,690,669), hereinafter referred to as Tsuchiya, and in view of Tobagi et al. (US 2002/0080721), hereinafter referred to as Tobagi.

Art Unit: 2663

Regarding claims 2 and 43, Tsuchiya discloses the limitations of base claims 1 and 42.

Tsuchiya fails to teach wherein the avoided problems are selected from a group consisting of fragmentation of data, dropping of data, and retransmission of data.

Tobagi discloses an implementation of a rate control module that reduces the frequency of dropped packets (segments) (page 4 paragraph 0044).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Tsuchiya to incorporate the rate control module taught by Tobagi. The motivation being reducing the number of lost data packets in a transmission reduces the quantity of segments lost by other traffic.

Regarding claims 4 and 45, Tsuchiya discloses wherein the protocol parameter indicates a limit to a size of the second data (column 8 lines 31-40; an IP header converting means extracts the IPv4 address from the IPv4 source address field of an IPv4 packet and converts the IPv4 address into an IPv4-mapped IPv6 address of 128 bits by adding a fixed pattern of 96 bits and sets it into an IPv6 source address field of an IPv6 packet), and the problems associated with the protocol translation are based on the size of the second data (column 2 lines 44-48; when communicating between an IPv4 and IPv6 terminal, an IPv4 address is fixedly allocated to the IPv6 terminal that communicates with the IPv4 terminal, which consumes the already short IPv4 address and accelerates the shortage).

Regarding claim 5, Tsuchiya as fails to teach wherein herein the protocol parameter is related to a buffer size.

Tobagi discloses the management of data transfer rates under TCP/IP protocols

Page 7

parameters, such as buffer sizes, are varied in response to the performance of the

in a network communications environment (page 1 paragraph 0002) where

parameters, such as butter sizes, are varied in response to the performance of the

network (page 4 paragraph 0044).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Tsuchiya to incorporate the management system taught by Tobagi. The motivation being to reconcile the effect that the transmission

parameters have on other network traffic.

Regarding claim 6, Tsuchiya as fails to teach wherein the protocol parameter is

a window size.

Tobagi discloses the management of data transfer rates under TCP/IP protocols in a network communications environment (page 1 paragraph 0002) where parameters, such as window sizes, are varied in response to the performance of the network (page 4 paragraph 0044).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Tsuchiya to incorporate the management system taught by Tobagi. The motivation being the same as in claim 5.

Regarding claim 7, Tsuchiya as fails to teach wherein the protocol parameter is related to a maximum data allowed in transmission.

Tobagi discloses the management of data transfer rates under TCP/IP protocols in a network communications environment (page 1 paragraph 0002) by moderating the size of data blocks sent by a sender (page 2 paragraph 0021).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Tsuchiya to incorporate the management system taught by Tobagi. The motivation being the same as in claim 5.

Regarding claim 8, Tsuchiya as fails to teach wherein the protocol parameter is a maximum segment size.

Tobagi discloses the management of data transfer rates under TCP/IP protocols in a network communications environment (page 1 column 0002) wherein a single TCP segment carries an amount of data equal to the maximum segment size (page 4 paragraph 0009).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Tsuchiya to incorporate the management system taught by Tobagi. The motivation being the same as in claim 5.

Regarding claims 18 and 31, Tsuchiya discloses the limitations of base claims 17 and 30.

Tsuchiya fails to teach wherein the avoided problems are selected from a group consisting of fragmentation of data, dropping of data, and retransmission of data.

Tobagi discloses an implementation of a rate control module reduces the frequency of dropped packets (segments) (page 4 paragraph 0044).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Tsuchiya to incorporate the rate control module taught by Tobagi. The motivation being reducing the number of lost data packets in a transmission reduces the quantity of segments lost by other traffic.

Regarding claims 20 and 33, Tsuchiya discloses wherein the protocol parameter indicates a limit to a size of the second data (column 8 lines 31-40; an IP header converting means extracts the IPv4 address from the IPv4 source address field of an IPv4 packet and converts the IPv4 address into an IPv4-mapped IPv6 address of 128 bits by adding a fixed pattern of 96 bits and sets it into an IPv6 source address field of an IPv6 packet), and the problems associated with the protocol translation are based on the size of the second data (column 2 lines 44-48; when communicating between an IPv4 and IPv6 terminal, an IPv4 address is fixedly allocated to the IPv6 terminal that communicates with the IPv4 terminal, which consumes the already short IPv4 address and accelerates the shortage).

Regarding claims 21 and 34, Tsuchiya as fails to teach wherein herein the protocol parameter is related to a buffer size.

Tobagi discloses the management of data transfer rates under TCP/IP protocols in a network communications environment (page 1 paragraph 0002) where parameters, such as buffer sizes, are varied in response to the performance of the network (page 4 paragraph 0044).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Tsuchiya to incorporate the management system taught by Tobagi. The motivation being to reconcile the effect that the transmission parameters have on other network traffic.

Regarding claims 22 and 35, Tsuchiya as fails to teach wherein the protocol parameter is a window size.

Tobagi discloses the management of data transfer rates under TCP/IP protocols in a network communications environment (page 1 paragraph 0002) where parameters, such as window sizes, are varied in response to the performance of the network (page 4 paragraph 0044).

Page 10

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Tsuchiya to incorporate the management system taught by Tobagi. The motivation being the same as in claims 21 and 34.

Regarding claims 23 and 36, Tsuchiya as fails to teach wherein the protocol parameter is related to a maximum data allowed in transmission.

Tobagi discloses the management of data transfer rates under TCP/IP protocols in a network communications environment (page 1 paragraph 0002) by moderating the size of data blocks sent by a sender (page 2 paragraph 0021).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Tsuchiya to incorporate the management system taught by Tobagi. The motivation being the same as in claims 21 and 34.

Regarding claims 24 and 37, Tsuchiya as fails to teach wherein the protocol parameter is a maximum segment size.

Tobagi discloses the management of data transfer rates under TCP/IP protocols in a network communications environment (page 1 column 0002) wherein a single TCP segment carries an amount of data equal to the maximum segment size (page 4 paragraph 0009).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Tsuchiya to incorporate the management system taught by Tobagi. The motivation being the same as in claims 21 and 34.

## Allowable Subject Matter

3. Claims 9-13, 25-27, and 38-40 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

#### Conclusion

- **4.** The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure:
- a) Park et al. (US 20040165602) Method and Apparatus for Interconnecting IPv4 and IPv6 Networks
- **b)** Pennec et al. (US 20050025157), System for Converting Data Based Upon IPv4 into Data Based Upon IPv6 to be Transmitted Over an IP Switched Network
- c) Choe et al. (US 20040162909), Apparatus for Converting IPv4 to IPv6
  Using Dual Stack and Method Thereof
- d) Blanchet et al. (US 20040133692), Method and Apparatus for Connecting IPv6 Devices Through an IPv4 Network and a Network Address Translator (NAT) Using a Tunnel Setup Protocol

Application/Control Number: 09/920,533

Art Unit: 2663

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Feben M Haile whose telephone number is (571) 272-3072. The examiner can normally be reached on 6:00am - 3:30pm.

Page 12

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ricky Ngo can be reached on (571) 272-3139. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

#

KZNNETH VANDERPUYE PRIMARY EXAMINER